

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,130	07/15/2003	Jean-Claude Dufourd	1241-03	7856
35811 7590 01/12/2009 IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE			EXAMINER	
			DAYE, CHELCIE L	
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/620.130 DUFOURD ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit CHELCIE DAYE 2161 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) CHELCIE DAYE. (3) (2) Erin Pacella. (4)____. Date of Interview: 08 January 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 15 and 20. Identification of prior art discussed: Kalva (US Patent No. 7,149,770). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant began by giving a brief description of the claimed invention, Next, applicant discussed what the two fields applied to the objects of the scene and believed that Kalva did not teach a parameter of action field corresponding to the action. Lastly, the applicant discussed claim 20 and in particular with reference to the flag status. Examiner did not agree with the arguments and no agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Apu M Mofiz/
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161
C Detect and Tendemont Office	